

# Advanced process control of distillation

## The introduction of model predictive control enabled a bio-products plant to raise throughput and automate product variation

HANS AALTO and STEFAN TÖTTERMAN  
*Neste Jacobs*

**C**rude tall oil (CTO) is a by-product of pulp mills that can be further processed in tall oil distillation plants to provide valuable bio based chemical products such as rosin and fatty acids. CTO is normally distilled in vacuum columns to avoid high temperatures whereby undesirable side reactions will occur.

Forchem Oyj has recently invested in an advanced process control (APC) package with the aim of increased production of its plant in Rauma, Finland. The APC package chosen is a multivariable model predictive control (MPC) solution with optimising features such as maximisation of CTO feed while respecting multiple dynamically varying process constraints. The MPC application is equipped with product grade change logic because three types of rosin are produced, and because an automatic calibration application for product quality variables measured on-line is known to show some differences with respect to laboratory measured values.

The MPC application package was in guarantee runs demon-

strated to be capable of keeping production at a level 8% higher than is reasonably achievable by manual controls. Product quality is more uniform and operation of the plant is more stable than before. Furthermore, the work of the control room operators has become much easier than before the installation of MPC.


One of the processing options for CTO is to separate tall oil rosins (TOR) and tall oil fatty acids (TOFA) in a distillation process. Tall oil products are produced all over the world and the world market in year 2014 was estimated to be 400 000 t/y TOR and 380 000 t/y TOFA.<sup>1</sup> One of the biggest and also most modern tall oil distillation plants is owned and operated by Forchem Oyj. The plant has a maximum capacity of 200 000 t/y CTO feed. Forchem recently finished an investment programme that targeted elevating the plant's capacity to the number mentioned above with low capital expenditure investments. As one of those investments, Forchem wanted to consider whether on-line real-

time optimisation (RTO) of the process could give some benefits. The operators were skilled but operating the process included many manual control actions, although most control loops were permanently on automatic mode. The grade changes, CTO total feed rate changes, overall material balance management, and finally product quality control were performed by manual adjustments of setpoints of flow and level controllers.

This article provides a short process description, followed by an outline of the control and optimisation strategy as well as some implementation highlights, and finally the results achieved.

### Process description

Water is removed from CTO feed pumped from feed storage tanks by heating, followed by a pitch removal stage which is based on vaporising the CTO. Pitch is composed of heavy compounds that degrade the quality of TOR and TOFA and must be removed, but pitch has a (low) market value because it can be burned to produce



**Figure 1** Schematic of Forchem's tall oil distillation plant

energy. After depitching, the feed enters a distillation section, which at Forchem consists of four vacuum distillation columns. Vacuum distillation is used to keep the boiling points in the columns at lower values, because at high temperatures CTO, TOR and TOFA start to participate in harmful chemical side reactions such as degradation (decarboxylation) of TOR into lighter rosins which are not desirable in the TOR product and, on the other hand, formation of bigger rosin molecules (dimerisation) which are heavier and end up in the bottoms streams of the distillation columns instead of in the product streams. In the columns where TOFA concentration is higher, there is a risk of polymerisation at higher temperatures.

The first distillation column (see **Figure 1**) separates 'crude TOR' and 'crude TOFA', the latter being the lighter product and thus is removed near the top of the column. Crude

TOFA is sent to the heads column which removes the heads oil that is partly used to dilute the pitch and partly burned in the hot oil furnace. The bottoms from the heads column is fed to the fatty acids column from which TOFA is drawn.

The bottoms of the first column is sent to the rosin redistillation column from which TOR is drawn close to the bottoms and distillate is drawn from a tray near the top. The bottoms of the redistillation column is pitch.

All columns are equipped with reboilers using hot oil as the heating medium. The circulating hot oil is heated up in a furnace that burns heads oil and ejector oil (oil from the vacuum system), so in terms of thermal energy the plant is self-sufficient.

Three types of TOR with different product characteristics (softening point) are produced in sequence, which means that product grade changes need

to be performed. The grade change involves adjustment of the TOR softening point target and multiple adjustments of the plant's overall material balance.

The qualities of crude TOFA rosin content – which is an impurity – and TOFA product rosin content are measured on-line by a near infrared (NIR) analyser. The softening point of the TOR product is measured on-line by density correlation. Density correlations are also available for other streams in the plant, including the CTO feed. The plant has a high degree of automation and is operated using an Emerson Delta V distributed control system (DCS) which uses fieldbus instrumentation.

## Real-time optimisation of distillation

### Real-time optimisation and model predictive control

RTO is traditionally placed as a separate application above MPC and/or DCS in the automation hierarchy. This is also true in cases where dynamic real-time optimisation (DRTO) is being used instead of the steady-state based RTO.<sup>2</sup> MPC has always contained optimising features like pushing process variables towards constraints from which more optimal operation follows, and more can be done in order to achieve full-blown economic optimisation by MPC.<sup>3</sup> MPC has nowadays become a flexible tool with a selectable degree of real-time optimisation of process economics. The application at Forchem is close to a standard MPC with field-proven constraint handling capability and flexibility to handle changing process conditions

## Performance analysis

It is highly desirable to study a plant by performance analysis prior to implementing MPC. The most important results of a performance analysis are estimates of the benefits achievable (in terms of profit increase, increased efficiency, decreased energy consumption, and so on) and a cost estimate of implementation. This should identify not only the costs directly related to MPC but also costs related to renewal of instrumentation, on-line analysers, DCS, and even process equipment. This valuable information reduces the risks of costly surprises during implementation. The performance analysis also typically reveals attractive small improvements, for instance in DCS control loops, which may provide a surprising benefit. Finally, the performance analysis should define the preliminary control strategy because this is also the basis of the MPC package's cost estimate.

The performance analysis carried out for Forchem concluded that the process and instrumentation was in good shape and no other costs would be incurred other than the MPC package's implementation cost. A process test performed during the performance analysis revealed that careful manual operation, where process constraints are logically relaxed, could give some 8% increased production. Another 8% production increase was estimated to be achievable by MPC.

## Control strategy

The control strategy developed during the performance analysis was an overall material bal-

ance maintaining strategy with continuous pushing of plant feed against varying constraints and local maximisation of TOR and TOFA product against product specification limits. In addition, the temperature of fresh hot oil to column reboilers and other consumers would be dynamically minimised when constraints allow in order to minimise energy and increased if production maximisation required more energy input from the hot oil system.

The control strategy covers almost the whole plant from the CTO feed to the outlets of the TOR and TOFA products. When production is maximised, the controller continuously monitors possible bottlenecks (constraints) in the process and looks for opportunities to increase the CTO feed further. When dynamically changing constraints are predicted to be violated, the controller adjusts the CTO feed in advance. In this way, stable operation of the plant can be maintained simultaneously as the production is continuously maximised. The control strategy materialises in the individual columns as follows:

- 1) Rosin column: the rosin content of the crude TOFA shall remain on a constant level simultaneously as certain known process disturbances are allowed to temporarily violate the rosin content without any controller action. In addition, MPC controls the reboiler level.
- 2) Heads column: very well controlled by DCS elementary control loops; only the crude TOFA feed to the column is manipulated by MPC.
- 3) Fatty acid column: shall give as much TOFA product as pos-

sible without violating the maximum constraint on rosin content of the TOFA product.

4) Rosin redistillation column: the planned controlled strategy was similar to the strategy of the fatty acid column – maximise TOR production against minimum softening point constraint. However, the column was too sensitive for this kind of operation and its controls needed to be redesigned during the commissioning phase. More attention regarding the mass balance of the column was needed in order to maintain stable column behaviour. The new strategy for this column was designed by introducing some extra supporting process calculations that helped the controller to maintain stability. Also, tuning and reconfiguration of the DCS controllers at the bottom part of the column improved the stability issues.

The matrix of dynamic models identified from process data is sparse (as it usually is). However, the inputs to the model of the TOR softening point (manipulated and disturbance variables) approached 10 during the modelling phase but was subsequently decreased to 6; one clearly dominating manipulated variable in the rosin redistillation column was found. This was also otherwise the most demanding model due to large drifting disturbances in measured process data.


MPC recognises a rosin grade change based on the crude oil feed ratio set by operators. The ratio is determined by operators and then MPC automatically changes the target for the softening point and all other parameters.

## Correction of on-line product quality measurements with automatic calibration

The quality of the Forchem TOR and TOFA products is very important, therefore the values supplied to MPC as measured variables to be controlled should be as accurate as possible. The on-line measurements – NIR analyser and density correlations – of product qualities provide information about the current condition of the process. During the performance analysis, the NIR analysers were observed to depart from laboratory data so the idea of an automatic calibration (AC) application was developed. An automatic procedure is implemented to use laboratory analysis results with which the corresponding on-line quality variables are updated. The update procedure is a smooth filtering procedure in which the filtering strength, which reflects confidence in laboratory value compared with on-line measured value, must be tuned with great care. In practice, AC performs a level or ‘bias’ correction where the strength and speed of correction depends on the filter parameters. The application, which is a calculation module integrated with MPC, is connected to Forchem’s laboratory information management system (LIMS), captures the laboratory analysed values as soon as they are entered into the LIMS, then performs the filtering calculation (see **Figure 2**).

### Project implementation highlights

If substantial benefits are expected from an MPC implementation, it is essential that the project schedule supports har-



**Figure 2** Working principle block diagram for automatic calibration: Q1 = on-line product quality measurement (NIR or density); S = sample point for laboratory

vesting the benefits quickly.

The implementation work started with preparation of a functional design specification and simultaneously the detail design of the DCS and LIMS networking with the MPC computer server was started. The typical MPC implementation steps – process step tests, modelling, MPC configuration and pre-tuning in a simulation test bench – started after the functional design specification was approved by Forchem. It was possible to commission the control of TOFA production with an accelerated schedule, a so-called ‘early start’, which happened five months after the start of implementation work. The commissioning of the rest of the application (TOR production, CTO feed maximisation, hot oil system) started seven months after the start of implementation work. At 8.5 months after start, the guarantee run was performed, which confirmed that the MPC application

was fully capable of maximising production. At this point, the implementation was de facto completed, but it had been originally agreed with Forchem that a follow-up period of four months was needed over the summer period because it was assumed that warm summer weather would have a drastic effect on the vacuum system. This would impact the MPC with some requirements on re-tuning. Forchem also utilised this period by requesting operator training be done after the summer.

There are natural temporary disturbances in CTO feed where the substance composition varies. As an extra MPC feature, a soft sensor for detecting abnormal high rosin content in the CTO feed was implemented. It is used to temporarily freeze the control action for the rosin content in the crude TOFA, which in turn prevents the MPC from control actions that may swing a great part of the whole plant unnecessarily.

As was mentioned earlier, the control strategy for the rosin redistillation column needed to be remodelled during the project. The existing DCS control loops did not take care of the overall mass balance of the column and this was successfully established as a part of the MPC during the commissioning phase.

A remote connection to the MPC was set up in order to establish quickly a connection between MPC experts and the actual controller application if, for example, something needs to be reconfigured or retuned. The performance of the MPC application is also monitored remotely.

## Results of the real-time optimisation project

The guarantee test showed that MPC can run the process at an elevated CTO feed level, which is 8% higher than can be maintained by manual operations. In addition, the yield of TOFA increased by 1.5%. TOR yield did not increase because the rosin redistillation column has such a process design that the 'normal way' of maximising product output flow against product quality limits was not possible.

The TOR product grade changes are almost fully automatic. Default values for the softening point of the two product grades have been introduced that automatically activate when the feed ratio target changes and exceeds a certain limit; actually, the grade change only needs one manual parameter input.

After MPC implementation it is also easier to adjust the production of rosin and fatty acid to changing market conditions. If there is higher demand for

one of the products, production can easily, with just a few manual inputs, be changed to favour this product. For example, by raising the target for the rosin content in the crude TOFA, more fatty acid is produced at the expense of the rosin product. Otherwise normal operation of the plant requires very little manual intervention after implementation of MPC.<sup>4</sup> This has been highly appreciated by Forchem's personnel.

### References

- 1 [http://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.pinechemicals.org/resource/collection/C9836B4C-DDF1-4725-82D5-AAA0E89C2311/Michel\\_Baumassy\\_-\\_The\\_Tall\\_Oil\\_Industry\\_-\\_100\\_Years\\_of\\_Innovation.pdf](http://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.pinechemicals.org/resource/collection/C9836B4C-DDF1-4725-82D5-AAA0E89C2311/Michel_Baumassy_-_The_Tall_Oil_Industry_-_100_Years_of_Innovation.pdf)
- 2 De Souza G, Odloak D, Zanin A C, Real time optimization with model predictive control (MPC), *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 34, 2010, 1999-2006
- 3 Vettenranta J, Smeds S, Yli-Opas K, Sourander M, Vanhamäki V, Aaljoki K, Bergman S, Ojala M, Dynamic real-time optimization increases ethylene plant profit, *Hydrocarbon Processing*, Oct 2006, 59-64.
- 4 Neste Jacobs product information

on NAPCON Controller MPC [www.napconsuite.com/products/napcon-controller/](http://www.napconsuite.com/products/napcon-controller/)

**Hans Aalto** is a Senior Consultant in the real time optimisation of the NAPCON product line. He has over 30 years' experience in development and implementation projects in productivity improvement, modelling/simulation, process control, advanced process control and real-time optimisation. He holds a Doctor of Science (Tech.) degree in automation and systems engineering from Aalto University, Finland. Email: [hans.aalto@neste.com](mailto:hans.aalto@neste.com)

**Stefan Tötterman** is a Senior Application Engineer in NAPCON real time optimisation. He has several years' experience in control, optimisation and modelling, and holds an MSc in process engineering from Åbo Akademi University, Finland.

Email: [stefan.totterman@neste.com](mailto:stefan.totterman@neste.com)

### LINKS

More articles from the following categories:

[Instrumentation, Automation and Process Control](#)  
[Mass Transfer](#)